

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE  
TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X

In the Matter of

MATRIX  
(2015-26)

Route 17K  
Section 95; Block 1; Lots TBD  
IB Zone

----- X

AMENDED SITE PLAN

Date: October 20, 2016  
Time: 7:00 p.m.  
Place: Town of Newburgh  
Town Hall  
1496 Route 300  
Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman  
FRANK S. GALLI  
KENNETH MENNERICH  
DAVID DOMINICK  
JOHN A. WARD

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.  
GERALD CANFIELD

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: CHARLES UTSCHIG  
DAVID EVERETT

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
10 Westview Drive  
Wallkill, New York 12589  
(845)895-3018

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

2

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Good evening, ladies and gentlemen. We'd like to welcome you to the Town of Newburgh Planning Board meeting of the 20th of October.

I will call the meeting to order with a roll call vote.

MR. GALLI: Present.

MR. MENNERICH: Present.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Present.

MR. DOMINICK: Present.

MR. WARD: Present.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: With us this evening tonight we have our Planning Board Attorney. If you would introduce yourselves.

MR. DONNELLY: Michael Donnelly, Planning Board Attorney.

MS. CONERO: Michelle Conero, Stenographer.

MR. CANFIELD: Jerry Canfield, Town of Newburgh.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this time I'll turn the meeting over to Ken Mennerich.

MR. MENNERICH: Please rise to say the Pledge.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

3

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

MR. MENNERICH: Please turn off your cell phones or put them on silent.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: On tonight's agenda we have two items and under Board Business we have two items.

The first item of business under the Planning Board agenda is Matrix located on Route 17K in an IB Zone. It's here tonight for amended site plan. It's being represented by Langan Engineering.

MR. UTSCHIG: Good evening, Mr. Chairman, Members of the Board. For the record, my name is Charles Utschig with the firm of Langan Engineering.

As we presented to the Board last month or prior, this is really somewhat of a minor amendment to the site plan. The additional tenant was incorporated in our original site plan submission and all our SEQRA documents.

We do have a memo from your engineer, and he starts out by saying that his prior comments were addressed. They were kind of ancillary comments, show a dumpster, deal with

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

4

some guiderail. We've made all those adjustments to our plans and they are reflected in the revised documents submitted to the Board.

We also took the SEQRA comparison memo -- consistency memo and added some additional information to it. For all intents and purposes, like I said, this was studied as part of our original SEQRA review and the changes have been really very minor, and in some cases in fact we've reduced the impacts. For example, there's a reduction in impervious area of some 17,000 square feet on the site. So the memo concludes that there are really no changes in the environmental impacts relating to this amended site plan application.

We also received a memo from your traffic consultant who I also believe concluded that we have addressed all of his comments. I think one of the things that came out of that, and some interaction with the State, was an agreement on our part to work with the State to provide some equipment that would allow them to monitor not only this signal but the Route 17K/300 signal remotely. It's something that the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

State is trying to implement. The applicant has agreed to work with the State to accomplish that.

The traffic consultant did acknowledge -- he asked us to do an assessment, not just at the peak hour for this site, the a.m. and p.m. peak hour, but he also asked to us look at a shift change impact. As we said to this Board, this facility has shifts to it. I think one of the Board Members brought this up, what happens when the shifts changes of change. We did that analysis and the conclusion was there was no real change in the level of service that this intersection will operate on. There is a slight change in the number of seconds of delay at that specific hour but really no impact on the level of service.

Lastly, we have a review memo from the County. One item for you to consider, that being they raised the issue of the manufacturing. When we originally did this there were -- it was intended not to have a manufacturing component to this. The tenant does do a minor piece of manufacturing here. Having described to the Board last time the byproduct of that. The

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

6

County's comment was really towards water quality, sanitary sewer discharge and to make sure we didn't have an impact on the adjacent waters course. As we presented to the Board the last time we were here, the byproduct from this manufacturing process is contained on site and stored and then hauled off on a regular basis by an approved hauler to an approved location. That's a consistent operational thing that this tenant does.

That really summarizes the comments and the responses that we've gotten from your staff to date.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Thank you.

Questions from Board Members. Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: No additional.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken Mennerich?

MR. MENNERICH: No questions.

MR. DOMINICK: No questions.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?

MR. WARD: No questions.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield,  
Code Compliance?

MR. CANFIELD: Just one comment. It

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

7

should be noted that the intended use, the manufacturing use, is permitted in the IB Zone.

The other question I have is if you can explain the byproducts, the storage on site and configuration and containment for that.

MR. UTSCHIG: I can talk in general terms about that. I can't give you the details, although it will be represented on the appropriate building plans. Our understanding is that through the manufacturing process there is a water byproduct that has contaminants in it. It's actually pumped into a storage tank and then -- and that storage tank is inside the building and then they bring a hauler in. The hauler, kind of like a septic system, empties out the tank and removes it to a site that has -- is an approvable -- an approved handler of that material. That's as detailed as I can give you. I'm sure as part of the site plan drawing -- I'm sorry, the building drawings, all that detail will be there.

MR. CANFIELD: It will be reviewed.

Okay.

MR. UTSCHIG: I did forget to mention,

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

there was a comment from the -- or some interaction with the fire department. There was a concern about the gate at the front of the facility which is in part of our plans. It's been agreed that similar to what we do at the building with an knock box which allows them to gain access, that same knock box will be placed at the gate so that they will able to get in the gate 24/7 if it's not open.

MR. EVERETT: To address your question further, the amended narrative that we had submitted in response to the comments from the Town's consultants basically said that the manufacturing process is an automated printing of party products and that it contains inks. All the inks are water based. There's no hazardous materials being used on the site. An average of 300 gallons per day of soap and water are used to clean up the printers and ink, and that water is stored in the collection tanks and removed as Chuck described it. It's pretty much inks that can be cleaned up with water and soap.

MR. CANFIELD: Very good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: If there are no



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

9

other questions from the Board Members or the consultants; Mike Donnelly, would you guide us through the final phase.

MR. DONNELLY: Yes. This is the second amended site plan approval. Based upon the analysis that's before you regarding SEQRA, I've included within your resolution a SEQRA consistency determination. That is a finding that what is proposed here falls within the SEQRA review that earlier led to a negative declaration. Pat Hines will, because this is a Type I action, prepare a written document to that effect and file it after this evening's meeting. Secondly, as you know the County made one jurisdictional recommendation regarding stormwater issues. That recommendation as a jurisdictional matter changes your voting requirements from a majority, which is four, to a majority plus one which is five. So the vote will need to be five members in favor. Section 239 of the General Municipal Law also requires that we state our reasons why we have not -- what our position is with regard to the recommendation. I've included within the

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

resolution a finding that the recommendation contained within the jurisdictional comment has been fully incorporated into this approval, and I will note specifically, I think I have the percentage right but I know Pat Hines has talked about it in the past, that the stormwater design for this facility exceeds the DEC stormwater requirements by a factor of 110 percent. If my language is wrong I'll get it corrected from Pat. Secondly, as I just explained, the on-site manufacturing wastewater byproduct will not enter the stormwater system but will be captured in the tank and hauled off site, and I think that indicates compliance with the recommendation of the County Planning Department. Beyond that, we will add as a condition that the applicant shall be required to comply with the DOT directives regarding the monitoring of the traffic signals. Except as modified, all other conditions of the original approval, both site plan and ARB, are to remain in effect. This approval is subject to and conditioned upon the satisfaction of those conditions as if they were set forth herein at length. Finally, our standard condition which

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

11

states that no structures or amenities not shown on the site plan can be built without further approval of the Planning Board.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Any questions or comments from Board Members?

MR. GALLI: No additional.

MR. MENNERICH: No.

MR. DOMINICK: No.

MR. WARD: No.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield?

MR. CANFIELD: Nothing additional.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion to grant the amended site plan approval for Matrix on Route 17K in an IB Zone based upon the presentation that Mike Donnelly, Planning Board Attorney, has given us this evening.

MR. DOMINICK: I'll make the motion.

MR. WARD: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Dave Dominick. I have a second by John Ward. I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.

MR. GALLI: Aye.

MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MATRIX

12

MR. DOMINICK: Aye.

MR. WARD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Myself yes. So  
carried.

There was a five-member vote, all in  
approval. That was the majority plus one that  
was required by the County.

Anything else?

MR. EVERETT: Thank you very much.

MR. UTSCHIG: Thank you.

(Time noted: 7:11 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 29th day of October 2016.

*Michelle Conero*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MICHELLE CONERO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE  
TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X

In the Matter of

NEWBURGH BRACESETTERS  
(2016-18)

4 Spring Square Business Park  
Section 63; Block 3; Lot 3  
B Zone

----- X

INITIAL APPEARANCE  
AMENDED SITE PLAN

Date: October 20, 2016  
Time: 7:12 p.m.  
Place: Town of Newburgh  
Town Hall  
1496 Route 300  
Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman  
FRANK S. GALLI  
KENNETH MENNERICH  
DAVID DOMINICK  
JOHN A. WARD

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.  
GERALD CANFIELD

APPLICANT'S REPRESENTATIVE: JOHN STOECKEL

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
10 Westview Drive  
Wallkill, New York 12589  
(845)895-3018

1  
2 CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The second item on  
3 this evening's agenda is Newburgh Brace setters.  
4 It's located at 4 Spring Square Business Park.  
5 It's an amended site plan. It's being  
6 represented by John Stoeckel, Maser Consulting.  
7 John.

8 MR. STOECKEL: For the record, my name  
9 is John Stoeckel with Maser Consulting. I'm  
10 representing the applicant, Brace setters.

11 The proposed improvement to this  
12 project is an 18 by 47 square foot expansion/  
13 addition to the rear of the existing building.

14 The existing use is an orthodontics  
15 office, approximately 1,100 square feet. There's  
16 no additional proposed improvements aside from  
17 parking space striping in addition to the  
18 building expansion.

19 It's an Unlisted action with SEQRA. In  
20 discussion with Jerry and Pat in the application  
21 of this process we do believe we're requesting  
22 review under 185-56 of the Zoning Code that the  
23 total improvement on the site is less than 2,500  
24 square feet, that this be waived from the site  
25 plan process.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

The improvement is outside of -- it's within the front yard -- outside of the front yard setbacks. There's no proposed encroachments on the setbacks. It's a very -- it's a minor improvement. That's really all that's going on.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Questions from Board Members. Frank Galli?

MR. GALLI: No.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken?

MR. MENNERICH: The question was raised in the work session concerning the access driveway. It's part of this piece of property but there's other businesses that access that driveway. Is there a maintenance agreement?

MR. STOECKEL: Not that I'm aware of. I'm sure our applicant can get the attorney to look into it. The access I believe is for the lot just north. I believe it's a chiropractic office. There's an access easement along lots 2 and 3 for the shared uses of the sidewalks. I would imagine that it's similar, like shared maintenance. You know, I don't have the answer to that. I'm not sure that it's impacted by the proposed action.



1  
2 MR. DONNELLY: I would suspect if the  
3 easement exists that there were some provisions  
4 within it to share maintenance. It's if the  
5 easement didn't exist that you would be concerned  
6 it was probably one owner and they never got  
7 around to doing it. If an easement exists I  
8 think it's a safe assumption there's some  
9 provision for maintenance.

10 MR. STOECKEL: Recently in the history  
11 of the project our applicant purchased the  
12 property. They were leasing from the management  
13 company of the subdivision. So with the easement  
14 being there I would imagine --

15 MR. MENNERICH: I guess my concern is  
16 originally all those buildings were owned by one  
17 person. Whatever arrangement was there, does it  
18 carry over to the new owner of this one  
19 particular lot? I guess it's not really a  
20 Planning Board issue.

21 MR. DONNELLY: It would have been a  
22 nice thing to include as part of the subdivision  
23 on the original approval. There's not much we  
24 can do to this applicant to require that they get  
25 the others to contribute toward the cost of

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

maintenance. It's frankly the burden of this applicant if he does not have those provisions in place to maintain it. He obviously wants to use the site.

MR. MENNERICH: The other question that was raised is these buildings were originally modular. What the building will look like with this addition out the back. Will the roof lines be changing?

MR. STOECKEL: In speaking with the applicant and the architect that has been retained but he hasn't done any work in the interest of making sure there was a site plan approval in place before moving forward, the building was going to be in kind in color and purpose. I would anticipate they would extend the roof line -- the north wall was going to extend straight back. Because of the front yard setback there's a one-foot or eighteen-inch setback from the south wall. So it would be entirely to the rear of the building.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave Dominick?

MR. DOMINICK: No questions.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: John Ward?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. WARD: Ken covered my question.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Jerry Canfield?

MR. CANFIELD: During the work session we had discussed the history of this subdivision. It goes back to the '90s when it was originally created. This particular lot would be determined or defined as somewhat of a flag lot as it does have rights. The actual portion of this parcel borders Route 52. With that being said, it's the determination of the Code Compliance Department that the -- there is no setback issue with the front yard, okay. So that referral to the Zoning Board is not necessary.

You're correct, it is subject or qualifies for the Board, at it's discretion, 185-56, if they choose to waive the site plan requirement because it does fit below the threshold of 2,500. That's up to the Board's determination. In the past the Board has entertained these types of applications with some type of restriction. I know Ken had mentioned and we talked about architecturals and maintaining the aesthetics to match the existing

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

building. That's well within the Board's right to request that.

There was one other issue we discussed, and it's also part of Pat's comments, with respect to the floodplain. Our office also serves as floodplain administrator for the Town. The construction of the addition and how that applies, if the flood zone is encroached then you'll need a floodplain development permit. At that time, after you get passed this process, we can discuss that, you know, how that applies to you.

MR. STOECKEL: Okay. I mean just to add to the floodplain aspect, we didn't have the topo survey so we didn't want to take that extra step right now. The applicant did say that they don't currently -- as far as they're aware, they don't carry the flood insurance because they are out of the floodplain. He's proposing to be at the same elevation which is more or less a split level. They're about four, four-and-a-half feet above grade. They don't anticipate that being an issue.

MR. CANFIELD: You did submit, which

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

was very helpful, the panels, the FEMA panels. I'm sure you're aware of online that there has been remapping. So as we develop into this, it will be a little more accurate to compare that with your elevations to see if it actually is within the flood zone.

MR. STOECKEL: Okay.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'm going to move for a motion to waive -- under 185-56 the Planning Board can waive site plan approval for an application which is less than 2,500 square feet.

One more time for the record; John, the total square footage including the addition brings us to how much?

MR. STOECKEL: 1,809 square feet.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Then I'll move for a motion under 185-56 to waive site plan approval for the addition which now is a total of 1,809 square feet.

MR. WARD: So moved.

MR. GALLI: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A motion by John Ward. A second by Frank Galli. Any discussion?

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. MENNERICH: One question. The architectural plan, will the Building Department review that when that comes in for consistency?

MR. CANFIELD: Yes. If it's the Planning Board's wishes, absolutely. Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: We have a motion by John Ward, a second by Frank Galli. We had discussion by Planning Board Member Ken Mennerich. At this point I'll move for a roll call vote.

MR. GALLI: Aye.

MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

MR. DOMINICK: Aye.

MR. WARD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.

Motion was passed on waiving of the site plan approval process.

At this point I'll turn to Mike Donnelly, Planning Board Attorney, to speak to us as far as a final resolution.

MR. DONNELLY: I'll include two conditions. One, a note that a floodplain development permit may be required from the Code Compliance Department. Secondly, that the Code

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Compliance Department shall examine the architectural proposal to make sure it's consistent with the existing treatment of the building.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Having heard the conditions presented by Planning Board Attorney Mike Donnelly for the final resolution, I'll move for a motion to grant amended site -- well --

MR. DONNELLY: You voted. I just put the condition.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: So that's that.

MR. STOECKEL: Thank you very much.

(Time noted: 7:21 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 29th day of October 2016.

*Michelle Conero*

---

MICHELLE CONERO



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE  
TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X  
In the Matter of

PET HOTEL & DAY CARE  
(2012-19)

Referral to Consultant Work Session  
To Be Held on 10/25/16

----- X

BOARD BUSINESS

Date: October 20, 2016  
Time: 7:22 p.m.  
Place: Town of Newburgh  
Town Hall  
1496 Route 300  
Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman  
FRANK S. GALLI  
KENNETH MENNERICH  
DAVID DOMINICK  
JOHN A. WARD

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.  
GERALD CANFIELD

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
10 Westview Drive  
Wallkill, New York 12589  
(845)895-3018

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: As we said earlier this evening, we finished the agenda items, which were two, and now we have two items of Board Business.

I'll ask John Ward to read the first letter from DePuy Engineering.

John, do you have that?

MR. WARD: "Dear Mr. Chairman, this correspondence is to request to be placed on October 25, 2016 Planning Board workshop agenda for the referenced project. The project is being modified from a free-standing building to an addition to the existing doggy daycare center which will have a footprint of approximately 6,000 square feet and overall gross building area of 9,750 square feet. The existing parking lot will be reconfigured to create additional parking between the doggy daycare center and the veterinarian hospital. The facility will obtain its water from the Town water system and the wastewater will be pumped and treated by two single-stage sand filters with a discharge to the adjacent creek, similar to the original project.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Thank you. Yours Truly, Thomas M. DePuy."

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll move for a motion to set the proposed pet hotel & daycare facility for the consultants' work session on the 25th of October.

MR. MENNERICH: So moved.

MR. GALLI: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken Mennerich and a second by Frank Galli. I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.

MR. GALLI: Aye.

MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

MR. DOMINICK: Aye.

MR. WARD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.

(Time noted: 7:24 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 29th day of October 2016.

*Michelle Conero*

---

MICHELLE CONERO

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

STATE OF NEW YORK : COUNTY OF ORANGE  
TOWN OF NEWBURGH PLANNING BOARD

----- X  
In the Matter of

ELM FARM  
(2000-09)

Six-Month Extension of Final Approval  
From October 20, 2016 through April 20, 2017

----- X

BOARD BUSINESS

Date: October 20, 2016  
Time: 7:25 p.m.  
Place: Town of Newburgh  
Town Hall  
1496 Route 300  
Newburgh, NY 12550

BOARD MEMBERS: JOHN P. EWASUTYN, Chairman  
FRANK S. GALLI  
KENNETH MENNERICH  
DAVID DOMINICK  
JOHN A. WARD

ALSO PRESENT: MICHAEL H. DONNELLY, ESQ.  
GERALD CANFIELD

----- X

MICHELLE L. CONERO  
10 Westview Drive  
Wallkill, New York 12589  
(845)895-3018

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: The second item under Board Business is Elm Farm.

I'll ask Frank Galli to read that letter.

MR. GALLI: "The current six-month extension on the final approval for the Elm Farm project expires on November 5, 2016. I'm requesting another six-month extension on the final approval to May 5, 2017. Thank you for your consideration of this request. Sincerely, Kathryn Lang Busch, Elm Farm Associates."

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. Any discussion on this?

MR. DOMINICK: One quick question, John. Should we ask why or have her explain the extension, only because the project is from 2000, or is the Board pretty much up to --

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: What do you want to do?

MR. GALLI: I don't know. We've had some of them come in. This project has been around quite awhile. I think she inherited it. It was after someone passed away in the family.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Kathryn Lang and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

ELM FARM

31

Richard Lang bought the property originally and they've been with it all along. I think the difficulty in this project is to try and get financing for the single-family development that has approximately 55 homes. It's a rather difficult task, but that's --

MR. GALLI: I'm fine if he doesn't come in, honestly. It's up to whatever the Board decides.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Ken?

MR. MENNERICH: For consistency we probably should ask them to come in.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Dave?

MR. DOMINICK: I agree with Ken. Bring them in.

MR. WARD: Yes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I'll agree with that.

We'll have our Attorney, Mike Donnelly -- we'll grant this extension for ninety days out, Mike? What would be the date on that?

MR. DONNELLY: You could leave it at the six months and ask them to appear then. Or, if you want to give them ninety --

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Do you want to grant it for six months with the understanding that at the end of six months she has to come in?

MR. DOMINICK: That's good.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Okay. I'll move for that motion.

MR. MENNERICH: So moved.

MR. DOMINICK: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: I have a motion by Ken Mennerich and a second by Dave Dominick. I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.

MR. GALLI: Aye.

MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

MR. DOMINICK: Aye.

MR. WARD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.

Mike, you'll prepare that letter.

MR. DONNELLY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: At this time we have no further business. I'll move for a motion to close the Planning Board meeting of the 20th of October.

MR. GALLI: So moved.



1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

MR. MENNERICH: Second.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: A motion by Frank Galli and a second by Ken Mennerich. I'll ask for a roll call vote starting with Frank Galli.

MR. GALLI: Aye.

MR. MENNERICH: Aye.

MR. DOMINICK: Aye.

MR. WARD: Aye.

CHAIRMAN EWASUTYN: Aye.

(Time noted: 7:28 p.m.)

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, MICHELLE CONERO, a Notary Public  
for and within the State of New York, do hereby  
certify:

That hereinbefore set forth is a  
true record of the proceedings.

I further certify that I am not  
related to any of the parties to this proceeding by  
blood or by marriage and that I am in no way  
interested in the outcome of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto  
set my hand this 29th day of October 2016.

*Michelle Conero*

---

MICHELLE CONERO